Supplementary Material

Nd³⁺ sensitized core-shell-shell nanocomposites loaded with IR806 dyes for photothermal therapy and up-conversion luminescence imaging by single wavelength NIR light irradiation

Syue-Liang Lin¹, Zi-Rong Chen¹, C. Allen Chang^{1,2,3}*

1. Department of Biotechnology and Laboratory Science in Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei 112, Taiwan.

2. Department of Biomedical Imaging and Radiological Sciences, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei 112, Taiwan.

 Molecular Imaging Research Center (MIRC), National Yang-Ming University, Taipei 112, Taiwan. Corresponding authors: <u>cachang@ym.edu.tw</u>

Method S1. Geometric TEM morphological and ICP data analysis of core, core-shell and core-shell-shell UCNPs.

From the TEM images we were able to estimate the volumes of the core and shells in the UCNPs according to a literature publised method with some modifications.[66] Assuming the UCNPs are all cylindrical with the respective diameters and height, $D_{core}=17.6$ nm, $H_{core}=23.5$ nm; $D_{core-shell1}=20.6$ nm, $H_{core-shell1}=32.3$ nm; $D_{core-shell1-shell2}=25$ nm, $H_{core-shell1-shell2}=41$ nm for the core, core-shell and core-shell-shell UCNPs, the volumes (V) could be easily calculated using the equation, V=4/3 pi $(D/2)^2$ H to be $V_{core}=4/3$ pi $(D_{core}/2)^2$ $H_{core}=5.71E+3$ nm³, $V_{core-shell}=4/3$ pi $(D_{core-shell}-shell}=10.76E+3$ nm³ and $V_{core-shell-shell}=4/3$ pi $(D_{core-shell}-shell}=20.12E+3$ nm³. The volume ratio of V_{core} : $V_{core-shell}$: $V_{core-shell-shell}$ is then normalized to be 1.00 : 1.88 : 3.52.

If V_{shell1} is defined as the volume of the inner shell, it can be calculated using the volume ratio data, i.e. by $V_{core-shell} - V_{core} = 1.88 - 1.00 = 0.88 V_{core}$, and similarly, the volume of the outer shell $V_{shell2} = V_{core-shell-shell} - V_{core-shell} = 3.52 - 1.88 = 1.64 V_{core}$. Thus, the normalized volume ratio of $V_{core} : V_{shell1} : V_{shell2}$ is 1.00 : 0.88 : 1.64.

Additionally, assuming the volume ratio is similar to the mole ratio, and taking the core-shell UCNPs as an example, the apparent formula from TEM image analysis is actually [NaYF₄:Yb(20%), Er(2%)]₁@[NaYF₄: Yb(20%)]_{0.88}. In 1 mmol core-shell UCNPs, the Y³⁺ content is 0.78 (in core) +0.88*0.8 (in shell) = 1.484 mmol, the Yb³⁺ content is 0.2 (in core) +0.88*0.2 (in shell) = 0.376 mol, and the Er^{3+} content is 0.15 (in core) + 0.00 (in shell) mol. The respective mole% of Y³⁺ is therefore

1

1.484 / (1.484+0.376+0.15) = 78.9%, and similarly, Yb³⁺ mole% = 32.5%, Er³⁺ mole% = 1.7%. On the other hand, the mass of Lu³⁺ is 88.91 mg/mol* 1.484 = 131.94 mg. Similarly, the respective masses for the Yb³⁺ and Er³⁺ are 65.07 mg and 3.35 mg. The Y³⁺ wt% is therefore 131.94/(131.94+65.07+3.35) or 65.9 %, and similarly, Yb³⁺ wt% = 32.5 %, Er³⁺ wt% = 1.7 %.

For the ICP data, taking the core-shell-shell UCNPs as an example, the Y^{3+} , Yb^{3+} , Er^{3+} and Nd^{3+} contents are 8.48, 4.87, 0.13 and 1.17 ppm, respectively. The wt% for Lu^{3+} is therefore 8.48/(8.48+4.87+0.13+1.17) = 57.9%; similarly, the wt% for Yb^{3+} , Er^{3+} and Nd^{3+} are 33.2%, 0.9% and 8.0%, respectively. The mole% for Y^{3+} is (8.48/88.91)/[(8.48/88.91)+(4.87/173.05)+(0.13/167.26)+(1.17/144.24)] = 72.0%; similarly, the mole% for Yb^{3+} , Er^{3+} and Nd^{3+} are 21.3%, 0.6% and 6.1%, respectively.

Method S2. Calculation of the photothermal conversion efficiency (η) for UCNC-FAs.

The photothermal conversion efficiency was calculated using eq. S1, where h is the heat transfer coefficient, S is the surface area of the container, the maximum steady temperature (T_{max}) of the solution of the FA-UCNCs was 45.4 °C, the environmental temperature (T_{Surr}) was 29.3 °C, Q_{dis} was the heat dissipated from the light absorbed by the solvent and quartz cuvette (i.e. 51.3 mW), I was the power of the 793 nm laser (i.e. 2 W/cm²), and A₇₉₃ was the absorbance of the UCNC-FAs at 793 nm (i.e. 0.650). The hS value could be obtained to be 45 mW/°C from eq. S2, where τ_s was the

time constant and was determined to be 280.0 s, and m_D is 3 g and C_d is 4.2 J/g·°C. Thus, substituting the values of these parameters into Eq. S1, the photothermal conversion efficiency (η) of the UCNC-FAs could be calculated to be 46%.

 $\eta = \frac{hS(T_{max} - T_{surr}) - Q_{dis}}{I(1 - 10^{-A793})} \quad (Eq. S1)$

 $hS = \frac{m_D C_d}{\tau_s}$ (Eq. S2)

Figures.

0

6 5

3 2

10



Figure S1. (A) ¹H NMR (400MHz, D₂O) spectrum of IR806, (B) ¹³C NMR (400MHz, D₂O) spectrum of IR806 and (C) 2D-NMR (x: ¹H ; y: ¹³C) of IR806.

160

ppm

(A)



Figure S2. (A) The ESI+ mass spectrum of IR806. $[M]^+$ calc. for $C_{43}H_{49}N_2O_2S^+$, 657.35(100.0%), 658.35(48.0%), 659.36(11.3%), found 657.4, 658.4, and 659.4. (B) MS-MS spectra of IR806.



Figure S3. HPLC chromatograms of : (A) IR780, retention time = 31.0 min. (B) IR806, retention time = 30.0 min. and (C) mixture of IR806 and IR780. HPLC equipment: Waters 1525 pump with Waters 2489 UV/visible detector (220 nm); analytical column (C18 inertsil ODS-3, 5 μ m 4.6×250mm). Condition: mobile phase (solvent A, 0.1% TFA in water; solvent B, acetonitrile); gradient (5~30 min 95%~40% solvent A); flow rate: 1 mL/min.



Figure S4. The EDS data of the core-shell-shell UCNPs. The Er^{3+} ions were confined in the inner region, thus could not be detected at outer region.



Figure S5. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) images of the coreshell-shell UCNPs (left) and UCNP@mSiO₂ (right).



Figure S6. TEM images (A, B), DLS measurements (C, D) and Zeta Potenital measurements (E, F). (A)(C)(E): UCNPs@mSiO₂/IR806@ PAH; (B)(D)(F): and UCNPs@mSiO₂/IR806@PAH/PEG-FA.



Figure S7. Absorption spectra of free type IR806 (green) and IR806 in UCNC-FAs (blue). [IR806] = 4.7μ M.